UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,483	03/27/2006	Koichi Furusawa	15250/006001	4037
OSHA LIANG	7590 06/30/200 L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
1221 MCKINN SUITE 2800		PATEL, VIPIN		
HOUSTON, TX	X 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/533,483	FURUSAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	VIPIN M. PATEL	2873					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this α D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· =						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	ателт Аррисацоп					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,483 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species
 - Species A Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to first embodiment of Figs 2-16, page 10, paragraph [0068 to 0083].
 - Species B: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to second embodiment of Figs 17-18, page 10, paragraph [0084 to 0085].
 - Species C: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to third embodiment of Figs 19, page 11, paragraph [0086].
 - Species D: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to fourth embodiment of Figs 20, page 11, paragraph [0087].
 - Species E: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to Fifth embodiment of Figs 22-24, page 11, paragraph [0086 to 0091].
 - Species F: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to sixth embodiment of Figs 25, page 11, paragraph [0092].
 - Species G: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to seventh embodiment of Figs 26, page 11, paragraph [0093].
 - Species H: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to eighth embodiment of Figs 27-28, page 11, paragraph [0094 to 0095].

Application/Control Number: 10/533,483 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Species I: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to nineth embodiment of Figs 43-45, page 11, paragraph [0110 to 0112].

- Species J: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to tenth embodiment of Figs 46, page 11, paragraph [0113].
- Species K: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to eleventh embodiment of Figs 47-55, page 11, paragraph [0114-0122].
- Species L: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to twelfth embodiment of Figs 56-59, page 12, paragraph [0123 to 0126].
- Species M: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to thirtheenth embodiment of Figs 60-61, page 12, paragraph [0127 to 0128].
- Species N: Optical multiplexer/demultiplexer according to fourteenth embodiment of Figs 62-63, page 12, paragraph [0129 to 0130].
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would

not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,483 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIPIN M. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30AM to 5:00PM E.S.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VP Vipin Patel

/Ricky L. Mack/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 2873